

LOWER SAN DIEGO RIVER  
WATER QUALITY 2012

WY12 Water Quality Monitoring Report



*RiverWatch Water Quality Monitoring Results (October 2011 - October 2012)*

*John C. Kennedy, PE*

*November 2012*

# Lower San Diego River Water Quality - 2012

## Table of Contents

<b>Section 1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>pg 2</b>
Table 1.1 - LSDR Water Quality Index	
Figure 1.1 - Lower SDR Watershed and WQM Sites	
<b>Section 2. Spatial Water Quality Comparison of WY12 and WY11 Results.....</b>	<b>pg 4</b>
Table 2.1 - Average Annual WQ Data by Individual Monitoring Site	
Table 2.2 - WQ Results by Reach & Section	
Chart 2.1 - WQ Data Profiles by Site and Reach for WY12 and WY11	
Chart 2.2 - WQI Profiles by Site and Reach for WY12 and WY11	
<b>Section 3. Temporal Water Quality Comparison of WY12 and WY11 Results.....</b>	<b>pg 7</b>
Table 3.1 - WQ Data by Month and Season	
Chart 3.1 - WQ Data Results by Month and Season for WY12 and WY11	
Chart 3.2 - WQI Values by Month and Season for WY12 and WY11	
<b>Section 4. Water Quality Data and Index Trends (WY05-WY12) .....</b>	<b>pg 9</b>
Table 4.1 - Average Annual and Seasonal WQI by Reach and Section	
Table 4.2 - Summary of LSDR WQI TrendLine Values	
Chart 4.1 - Water Temperature TrendLines (Oct'04-Present)	
Chart 4.2 - Specific Conductivity TrendLines (Oct'04-Present)	
Chart 4.3 - pH TrendLines (Oct'04-Present)	
Chart 4.4 - Dissolved Oxygen TrendLines (Oct'04-Present)	
Chart 4.5 - WQI TrendLines (Oct'04-Present)	
<b>Appendices:</b>	
A. WQI TrendLine Charts by River Reach .....	pg 14
B. Glossary .....	pg 16
C. References .....	pg 17
D. RiverWatch WQM Program Volunteers.....	pg 18
<i>Under Separate Cover (Supplemental):</i>	
sA. SDR WQM Program .....	A1-A4
sB. SDR Stream Flow .....	B1-B3
sC. 2012 Monthly WQM Data by Site .....	C1-C7
sD. 2012 Monthly WQ Data (by Others) .....	D1-D2
sE. Water Quality Indexing and 2012 WQIs by Monitoring Site (SDRPF).....	E1-E5



## Section 1 - Introduction

This report provides a summary of patterns and trends in water quality monitoring results gathered by SDRPF's RiverWatch citizen volunteers. WQM data collected monthly over the past 8 years at 15 sites within the Lower San Diego River (LSDR) watershed have been aggregated, in conjunction with hydrologic data on stream flows to a numeric water quality index (WQI). Basic monthly data regarding individual water quality parameters and river hydrology for each of the sites monitored are maintained in an excel database available at the SDRPF offices; this report examines Water Year 2012 (WY12) data in comparison to previous years results. The LSDR watershed and water quality monitoring site locations are shown on **Figure 1-1**.



**Figure 1-1 LSDR Watershed and Water Quality Monitoring Sites**

Color Code for LSDR reaches on figure above: Estuary (orange), Lower Mission Valley (purple), Upper Mission Valley (red), Mission Gorge (dark green), Lower Santee Basin (pink), Upper Santee Basin (dark blue), Lakeside to El Capitan Reservoir (light green) and principal tributaries (light blue)

Figure 1-1 can be viewed in greater detail through Google Earth by accessing file "Fig1.1WQMR.kmz" from the SDRPF website/River Monitoring page at: <http://www.sandiegoriver.org/riverwatch.php>>. The RiverWatch monthly water quality monitoring data at each site, as well as associated WQI values, are also provided on this webpage at: <http://www.ecolayers.biz/sdrpf%20riverwatch/>> through clicking on access to 'Web-based Information Center'. In addition to water quality monitoring data, the portal also contains: River Blitz data, SDR Conservancy Project information, SD StreamTeam Bio-assessment data, 401 Project information and USGS realtime streamflow data on daily peak discharge and gauge height for the San Diego River stations.

The RiverWatch monitoring team’s water quality index (WQI) represents SDRPF’s answer to general questions regarding water quality in the Lower San Diego River. The index is a number (0-100) where increasing values indicate better water quality. The numerical index expresses basic physical, chemical and bacteriological water quality data by integrating six parameters: Temp, pH, SpC (specific conductivity), DO (dissolved oxygen), MCC (coliform count) and Q (streamflow); through determination of weighted factors for each. The resulting values are then aggregated to arrive at a score for each site, reach, section and an overall (LSDR) average. The SDR WQI values, grade, color codes and general conventions employed are presented in **Table 1.1**.

**Table 1.1 LSDR Water Quality Index**

SDR WQI (0-100)	Grade	Color Code	Percentile Range	Water Quality Threshold	General
75 or >	A - Very Good	Dark Blue	25%	Well Above Acceptable WQ Criteria	Optimal (>50)
50 - 74	B - Good	Light Blue	25%	Exceeds Acceptable Criteria	
35 - 49	C - Fair	Green	15%	Meets Criteria	Intermediate (25-49)
25 - 34	D - Marginal	Yellow	10%	Meets Some Minimum Criteria	
10 - 24	E - Poor	Brown	15%	Meets Few Minimum Criteria	Substandard(< 24)
0 - 9	F - Very Poor	Red	10%	Falls Well Below Minimum Criteria	

In general, sites with WQI values of 50 or above exceed expectations for acceptable water quality and are indicative of ‘Optimal’ conditions. Scores between 25 and 49 describe ‘Intermediate’ quality levels where evidence exists regarding failure to meet criteria at all times. Water quality with scores of 24 or below do not meet minimum expectations and are considered ‘Substandard’. For WQ parameters monitored by RiverWatch, the index expresses results relative to levels necessary to maintain beneficial water uses based on State of California Water Quality Standards. Where criteria are non-specific, results are expressed relative to norms for southern California coastal area rivers and streams. The index is not valued for estuaries or ocean waters.

The WQI has been computed using two formulas; one involving four key WQ parameters (Temp, SpC and DO) monitored by RiverWatch combined with streamflow (Q), the second with two additional parameters (pH and MCC) also combined with flow. The equations used for both formulas (WQI<sub>4</sub> and WQI<sub>6</sub>) are presented in Appendix A. Value differences between the two determinations were found to be small, although the first determination (WQI<sub>4</sub>) typically presented a broader range (from low to high value) than the second as the ‘normalizing’ effect of pH and MCC (both of which present less spatial and temporal variance) are not included. The broader range WQI<sub>4</sub> values are expressed in this report unless indicated otherwise. Although the index was developed specifically for the San Diego RiverWatch Program, it can also be applied to other area watercourses where comparable water quality parameters are monitored on a regular basis.

## Section 2 - Spatial Water Quality Data Comparison WY12 and WY11

Average annual water quality values for each of the 15 monitoring sites for WY12 and WY11 are presented in **Table 2.1**. Monthly water quality data collected and recorded at each site by RiverWatch used to determine annual averages, seasonal patterns and trend lines are presented in the appendices together with supplemental data collected by other monitoring organizations for streamflow (USGS) and coliform counts (SD CoastKeepers). The monthly data are also available through the web site.

**Table 2.1 Average Annual WQ Data by Site, Reach and Section for WY12/WY11**

Site:	LSDR Reach & Section		Temp, oC	SC, mS/cm	pH	DO, mg/L	DO %Sat	Flow, cfs	WQI Value <sup>a</sup> , (Change) & Grade	
1	LMV	West	20.0/18.7	7.04/3.86	7.8/7.8	6.0/6.6	66/71	12/62	37/45 (-8)	C
2			18.8/18.7	2.58/2.39	7.8/7.7	4.0/5.0	46/53		30/36 (-6)	D/C-
3			18.9/18.9	2.53/2.29	7.8/7.7	4.4/4.8	46/50		30/35 (-5)	D/C-
4			19.4/19.0	2.44/2.21	7.7/7.8	6.4/5.8	68/61		43/42 (+1)	C
5	UMV	West	17.4/17.5	2.49/2.45	7.6/7.8	4.3/5.1	50/53	12/60	35/37 (-2)	C-
6			18.2/18.3	2.37/2.41	7.6/7.8	4.3/4.5	44/47		32/34 (-2)	D
7			18.3/18.3	2.26/2.39	7.5/7.7	6.0/6.1	63/64		41/44 (-3)	C
8	MG	Mid	17.1/17.2	2.61/2.18	7.6/7.9	7.3/8.6	75/89	11/36	50/61 (-11)	B-/B
9 <sup>b</sup>			14.9/14.7	5.32/4.91	7.9/8.1	9.7/9.8	96/102		39/49 (-10)	C
10			18.3/18.0	2.37/2.11	7.9/8.1	6.9/7.2	73/75	7/13	47/50 (-3)	C/B-
11	LSB	East	16.7/16.6	2.41/2.23	7.4/7.5	6.3/6.5	64/67		41/45 (-4)	C
12 <sup>b</sup>			18.0/18.1	1.79/1.54	8.0/8.1	7.1/7.4	75/79	44/48 (-4)	C	
15 <sup>b</sup>			18.6/17.1	2.95/2.77	7.9/8.1	6.5/7.2	71/74	36/42 (-8)	C	
13	USB	East	18.2/18.0	1.96/1.80	7.6/7.8	0.9/1.7	9/17	3/5	5/11 (-6)	F/E-
14			18.1/17.9	1.66/1.57	7.9/8.0	2.9/3.3	31/34		18/22 (-4)	E
<b>(1-15)</b>	<b>LSDR</b>		<b>18.0/17.7</b>	<b>2.31/2.20</b>	<b>7.7/7.9</b>	<b>5.3/5.7</b>	<b>56/60</b>	<b>12/49</b>	<b>34/39 (-5)</b>	<b>D+/C</b>

a) Average annual water quality index value, change (+/-) over last 12 months and resultant WQ letter grade; declines in index values from WY11 results are presented in red.

b) Lower San Diego River tributary stream sites selected for monitoring.

Average annual, seasonal and minimum-to-maximum range water quality values for WY12 and WY11 are presented in **Table 2.2** by river reach and section. The overall spatial water quality values listed in Tables 2.1 and 2.2 for the Lower San Diego River system monitoring sites are expressed in subsequent **Charts 2.1** (Water Quality Data) and **2.2** (Water Quality Index and Streamflow).

**Table 2.2 Average Annual Water Quality Data by Season, Reach and Section for WY12/WY11**



Parameter, units	Temp, oC	SC, mS/cm	pH	DO, mg/L	DO %Sat	Flow, cfs	WQI Value, <sup>a</sup> (Change) & Grade	
Maximum Month	24.3/22.1	3.36/3.05	8.0/8.2	7.8/9.7	72/92	26/303	51/66(-15)	B
Winter (D,J,F,M)	12.4/13.6	2.38/1.65	7.9/8.0	7.2/7.7	68/75	17/50	44/53 (-9)	C/B-
<b>Annual Average</b>	<b>18.0/17.7</b>	<b>2.38/2.20</b>	<b>7.7/7.9</b>	<b>5.6/5.8</b>	<b>58/61</b>	<b>12/49</b>	<b>34/39 (-5)</b>	<b>D+/C</b>
Summer (J,J,A,S)	22.9/21.6	3.12/2.85	7.6/7.9	4.0/4.0	47/46	1.7/2.5	20/23 (-3)	E/E+
Minimum Month	10.3/12.4	1.33/0.98	7.4/7.6	3.3/2.5	39/27	1.2/1.3	14/16 (-2)	E
<i>LSDR Section Averages:</i>								
East	SB	17.9/17.5	2.14/1.96	7.7/7.9	4.2/4.6	44/48	7/13	25/30 (-5) D-/D
Mid	MG	17.1/17.0	2.49/2.15	7.8/8.1	8.1/8.2	82/85	10/20	49/56 (-7) C+/B
West	MV	18.5/18.6	2.44/2.36	7.7/7.8	5.2/5.4	55/57	25/33	35/39 (-4) C-/C
<i>LSDR Reach Averages:</i>								
USB	East	18.2/18.0	1.86/1.72	7.7/7.9	1.6/2.2	16/23	6/10	10/15 (-5) E-/E
LSB		16.7/17.1	2.43/2.19	7.8/7.9	6.5/6.9	68/72	8/18	40/45 (-5) C/C+
MG	Mid	17.1/17.0	2.49/2.15	7.8/8.1	8.1/8.2	82/85	12/22	49/56 (-7) C+/B
UMV	West	17.9/18.0	2.37/2.42	7.76/7.8	5.0/5.2	52/55	20/28	36/38 (-2) C-/C
LMV		19.3/18.8	2.51/2.30	7.8/7.8	5.1/5.2	55/56	26/34	35/40 (-5) C-/C

a) Average annual water quality index value, change (+/-) over last 12 months and resultant WQI letter grade. Declines in water quality index values from WY11 results are shown in red.

**Chart 2.1** presents average annual water quality values at each monitoring site and reach in order of their location upstream for WY12 (Oct'11 - Sept'12) and WY11 (Oct'10-Sept'11). The left-side scale expresses DO and SpC, the right-side, Temp and pH. The solid lines with values shown are this year's results, the dashed lines without values are last year's (WY11) results. With exception of two sites (4 & 9) DO values for WY12 are below last year's averages. SpC averages at all sites are higher this year than last. Average annual water temperatures are slightly above last year's values at most sites while pH values are slightly less. Both average annual SpC and water temperature values increase from upstream sites to downstream with exception of tributaries. The opposite occurs with average pH values; slightly higher values are monitored at upstream (eastern sites). Average DO values show the greatest variation between sites. Lowest values are typically recorded in the Upper Santee Basin (Sites 13 and 14) whereas highest values are typically measured in the Mission Gorge (middle reach) section.

The WQI, an aggregate or composite index of average annual water quality monitoring results for WY12, WY 11 and the average over the past 8-yr's are shown in **Chart 2.2**. The two sites furthest upstream, 13 (Mast Park) and 14 (RCP/Cottonwood), continue to experience poor-to-very poor water quality. On an average annual basis, highest quality (B-Good) continues to be observed in the Mission Gorge reach. The





overall WQI profile for WY12 is noticeably lower than last year's averages at all sites and is also below the 8-yr averages with exception of Sites 6(Kaiser), 7(ABF) and 12T(CarltonOaks Dr.-Sycamore Ck).

### Section 3

## Temporal Water Quality Data Comparison WY12 and WY11

Monthly and seasonal water quality monitoring data and WQI averages for the Lower San Diego River are presented in **Table 3.1** for WY12 and WY11. Eight out of the past 12 months have shown declines in overall river water quality as expressed by the index. Fall (Oct-Nov) was the only season that presented improvement in LSDR quality over the same period of monitoring a year ago.

**Table 3.1 LSDR WQM Data by Month and Season for WY12/WY11**


		Temp	SC	pH	DO	DO%	Flow	WQI <sup>(b)</sup>	
Month	Season:	oC	mS/cm		mg/L	%Sat	cfs	Value & Grade	
Oct	Fall	19.5/19.8	2.79/2.90	7.5/7.6	4.54/2.46	50/27	4.6/29	29/16	D/E
Nov		15.3/15.5	1.66/2.02	8.0/7.7	7.00/6.30	71/64	31/25	51/41	B-/C
Dec	Winter	10.3/13.4	1.68/2.14	7.9/7.8	7.02/6.95	63/67	16/303	41/45	C
Jan		11.2/12.6	2.22/1.79	8.0/7.9	7.66/9.78	71/93	11/46	45/66	C/B
Feb		13.0/13.3	1.55/0.98	7.8/7.9	7.11/7.27	68/70	17/82	47/47	C
Mar		14.9/16.1	1.33/1.67	8.0/8.1	6.45/6.96	64/71	26/62	43/52	C/B-
Apr	Spring	18.7/17.8	1.71/1.70	7.8/8.2	5.56/7.60	60/81	20/20	41/57	C/B
May		21.1/18	3.13/1.82	7.6/7.9	4.45/6.22	51/68	7/11	29/48	D/C+
June	Summer	21.9/21.7	3.00/2.36	7.5/8.1	4.18/4.99	49/58	3.0/5.7	26/34	D-/C
July		22.7/22.4	2.87/2.90	7.4/7.8	3.92/3.83	46/45	1.5/2.4	21/23	E/E+
Aug		24.3/22.3	3.36/3.10	7.5/7.8	3.62/3.64	44/43	1.3/1.3	18/19	E
Sept		22.5/21.1	3.25/3.05	7.7/7.7	2.62/2.85	31/33	1.2/1.5	13/16	E
Season Averages									
Fall (O&N)		17.4/17.6	2.23/2.47	7.7/7.6	5.77/4.38	60/46	20/27	40/29	C/D
Winter (D,J,F,M)		12.4/13.6	1.69/1.32	7.9/8.0	7.06/7.74	67/75	17/51	44/53	C/B-
Spring (A&M)		19.3/18.3	2.42/1.76	7.7/8.0	5.00/6.91	56/74	9/16	35/53	C-/B-
Summer (J,J,A,S)		22.9/21.6	3.12/2.85	7.5/7.8	3.58/3.83	43/44	1.7/2.5	20/23	E/E+
Annual Avg (O-S)		<b>18.0/17.7</b>	<b>2.38/2.20</b>	<b>7.7/7.9</b>	<b>5.30/5.74</b>	<b>56/60</b>	<b>11/49</b>	<b>34/39</b>	<b>D+/C</b>

a) Mean coliform count (geometric mean of fecal coliform, E-coli and total coliform results)

b) Calculated values based on SD RiverWatch physical-chemical parameters (WQI<sub>4</sub>) combined with USGS recorded stream flow for eastern (West Hill Pkwy) and western sections (Fashion Valley). This year and last year values (WY12/WY11) and letter grades; declines in value over the past 12 months are listed in red.




Monthly and seasonal variances in the monitoring results for the past two water years are also expressed in **Charts 3.1** (WQM Data) and **3.2** (LSDR Water Quality Index). The temporal patterns in the WY12 and WY11 water quality monitoring data for the overall LSDR system are shown in **Chart 3.1**. Dissolved Oxygen and streamflow values are highest during the winter months (Dec-March) whereas specific conductivity and water temperatures are greatest during the driest summer months (June-Sept). Mean



coliform count (MCC) and pH values exhibit considerably less seasonal fluctuation than other water quality parameters considered, although slight variance in monthly values does occur.

**Chart 3.2** provides an overall perspective of temporal variance in WQI values for the average (LSDR), Eastern (Santee Basin) and Western (Mission Valley) sections of the river during WY12 and WY11. As noted in the tables, the WQI values for WY12 are less than those in WY11 for all but the initial two months (Fall) of the water year. The most significant decline in WQI values commonly occurs during late Spring-early Summer (May-June) as streamflow rapidly diminishes and water temperatures increase. Significant depletions in dissolved oxygen levels is the primary driver in declining index values.

In general, water quality for the Lower San Diego River system is highest (in B-Good range) in winter months with largest streamflow and lowest (D-Marginal to F-Very Poor) during summer (minimum-flow) months. The overall annual average WQI for the LSDR in WY12 is 34 (D+), down 5 units from 39 (C) last year; dropping from Fair into the Marginal category. Both spatial and temporal trends in monitored data and resultant WQI are presented in the final section of this report.



## Section 4

### Water Quality Data and Index Trends WY05 through WY12

Annual and seasonal LSDR WQI values are presented in **Table 4.1** by river reach, section, and overall (LSDR) average for each water year over the last 8 years (WY05-WY12) of RiverWatch monitoring. Trend lines (12-month running averages) have been calculated for both the water quality data (**Charts 4.1-4.4**) and the resultant water quality index (**Chart 4.5**).

Temporal WQ data trend lines (12 month running averages) are presented by section and reach in **Charts 4.1-4.4**. Water temperature running averages, plus maximums and minimums for all sites (Chart 4.1) have changed little over the past 7 years. With a mean temperature of 18.0°C for the lower segment; the monitoring sites present a repetitive annual cyclic pattern ranging from 8°C (winter minimums) to 30°C (summer maximums) with little change in running averages. Specific conductivities (Chart 4.2) seem to be trending slightly upward since 2005, however, the changes are small and likely reflective of prevailing streamflow conditions. As shown in Chart 4.3, pH running averages increased noticeably in 2010, especially minimum values. Replacement of a deteriorating pH probe giving low readings in mid-WY06 is thought to be the principal cause of this change as pH averages have remained fairly consistent since then. Dissolved oxygen running averages (Chart 4.4) show a steady slow decline since February 2010. Sustained minimum monthly values monitored throughout the year in the Upper Santee Basin reach (Sites 14 & 15) is considered the primary cause of this trend.

**Table 4.1 - Average Annual and Seasonal WQI by Reach and Section (WY05-WY12)**

Annual Avg.	LMV	UMV	MV	MG	LSB	USB	SB	Overall Average	
	Reach	Reach	Section	Section	Reach	Reach	Section	LSDR	
WY05	48	44	46	65	31	18	25	41	C (highest)
WY06	40	33	37	55	35	22	29	37	C-
WY07	37	28	33	51	41	27	34	37	C-
WY08	39	31	36	47	41	36	38	39	C
WY09	38	29	34	47	43	34	38	38	C
WY10	36	33	35	49	39	18	28	35	C-
WY11	40	38	39	56	45	15	30	39	C
<b>WY12</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>D+ (lowest)</b>
<i>8-Yr Avg</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>C- Fair</i>
Winter Avg	LMV	UMV	MV	MG	LSB	USB	SB	LSDR	
WY05	64	67	65	86	45	33	39	59	B (highest)
WY06	55	47	51	61	41	29	35	47	C+
WY07	50	42	46	64	57	41	49	51	B-
WY08	57	48	53	55	53	52	53	53	B-
WY09	57	46	53	62	62	55	58	56	B
WY10	55	55	55	67	57	29	43	52	B-
WY11	57	57	57	67	55	27	41	53	B-
<b>WY12</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>C (lowest)</b>
<i>8-Yr Avg</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>B- Good</i>
Summer Avg	LMV	UMV	MV	MG	LSB	USB	SB	LSDR	
WY05	32	25	29	47	20	6	13	26	D-
WY06	23	15	20	46	32	19	25	27	D- (highest)
WY07	23	15	20	36	24	13	19	22	E
WY08	24	20	23	34	29	23	26	26	D-
WY09	21	14	18	33	25	16	21	22	E
WY10	21	18	20	34	27	9	18	22	E
WY11	23	17	21	38	31	5	18	23	E
<b>WY12</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>E (lowest)</b>
<i>8-Yr Avg</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>E Poor</i>

Table footnotes.

**WQI Letter/Color Code:** A (>75) = Very Good (dark blue), B (50-74) = Good (light blue), C (35-49) = Fair (green), D (25-34) = Marginal (yellow), E (10-24) = Poor (brown), and F (0-9) = Very Poor (pink); WQI values in red are below 8-Yr Averages (expressed in italics) for the same reach or section.

The WQI trend lines since WY05 up to the present are shown on **Chart 4.5**. The continued decline in the running averages for the LSDR is a function of depressed oxygen levels at a number of sites combined with diminished mean streamflow throughout the system. Specific trends in the WQI expressed by individual river reach are presented in Appendix A (Charts A.1-A.6). WQI values are expected to increase as streamflows return to more normalized patterns and improvements are undertaken along specific reaches of the lower river system. Higher minimum values are likely to result in a return to positive gradients for trend lines.

WQI trend lines for the SDR reaches, sections and the aggregated average value (LSDR) shown on the series of section 4 charts are summarized in **Table 4.2**. The present (WY12) running average WQI value of 34 (D+ marginal) for the LSDR system is 5 points below last year’s average of 39 (C fair) and 3 points below the 8-yr average of 37 (C-). The current running average WQI for the Upper Santee Basin reach of 10 (E- poor) is 13 points below the 8-yr average of 23 (E+). The current average for the Mast Park site of 5 (F very poor) is 19 points below the 8-yr average WQI.

**Table 4.2 Summary of LSDR WQI Trend Line Values**




	Chart	High / WY	Low / WY	8-Yr Avg.	End WY12 <sup>(a)</sup>
East Section:	D.1, D.2	58(B) WY09	13(E) WY05	31(D)	25(C-) Fair
USB	D.1	55(B) WY09	5(F) WY11&12	23(E+)	10(E-) Poor
LSB	D.2	62(B) WY09	20(E) WY05	39(C)	40(C) Fair
Mid Section	D.3	86(A) WY05	26(D-) WY12	52(B)	49(C+) Fair
West Section:	D.4, D.5	65(B) WY05	18(E) WY09	37(C-)	35(C-) Fair
UMV	D.4	67(B) WY05	14(E) WY09	34(D+)	36(C-) Fair
LMV	D.5	64(B) WY05	21(E) WY09&10	39(C)	35(C-) Fair
<b>LSDR Average</b>	D.6	<b>59(B) WY05</b>	<b>20(E) WY12</b>	<b>37(C-)</b>	<b>34 (D+) Marginal</b>
Best Site (8)	D.3	86(A) WY05	27(D) WY12	56(B)	50 (B-) Good
Greatest Range (12)	D.2	63(B) WY10	7(F) WY05	39(C)	44(C) Fair
Poorest site (14) Overall	D.1	41(C) WY09	9(F) WY05,07&11	21(E)	18(E) Poor
Poorest site (13) Currently	D.1	62(B) WY09	2(F-) WY12	23 (E+)	5(F) Very Poor



- a) End of WY12 (Sept) trend line values shown in red are 2 or more points below the 8-yr trend line averages.
- b) Highest overall WQI winter values are associated with Site 8 (Jackson Dr) in the Mission Gorge (Mid) Reach/Section.
- c) Lowest overall WQI summer values are associated with sites 13 (Mast Park) and 14 (Cottonwood/RCP) in USB.

Water year 2012 presented the lowest overall LSDR WQI (34 D+) with both winter and summer values of 44 (C fair) and 20 (E poor), respectively, running well below the 8-yr seasonal and annual averages. Next year (WY13) is predicted to be near normal in total annual rainfall and streamflow (runoff). Both winter and average annual LSDR water quality values are expected to continue improving slightly over this year’s results. Upper reaches in both the Santee Basin and Mission Valley sections are expected to present poorer water quality values than found in the lower reaches and mid-section (Mission Gorge) of the river until proposed local improvements now underway are successfully completed. Overall, the downward trend in water quality index values that has occurred this past year is expected to turn unless WY13 ends up being a well below normal rainfall year with associated reduction in streamflow.






LSDR Water Quality Monitoring Report





Questions regarding the San Diego River WQM database or interpretation of results expressed in this report can be directed to the attention of the author, John C. Kennedy, through contacting SDRPF at [info@SanDiegoRiver.org](mailto:info@SanDiegoRiver.org), or the RiverWatch Coordinator at 619-297-7380.

## Appendix A - WQI TrendLine Charts by River Reach






Chart A.6 provides a summary of WQI trend lines for the 5 lower reaches of the SD River and overall for the WY06 through WY12 period of monthly monitoring by RiverWatch volunteers.



## Appendix B - Glossary

### Abbreviations:

AADF - Average Annual Daily Flow  
 ACC - Average Coliform Count (arithmetic mean of fecal coliform, e-Coli & total coliform in MPN/100mL)  
 ADF - Average Daily (stream) Flow or discharge  
 AFY - acre-foot per year  
 Avg- Average  
 cfs - cubic feet per second (flow/discharge)  
 Ck - Creek  
 CY - Calendar Year (Jan 1 - Dec 31)  
 DO - Dissolved Oxygen  
 DO%Sat - Dissolved Oxygen expressed as percentage of DO level at saturation point  
 d/s - downstream // {u/s - upstream}  
 E - East // {W - West}  
 FSDRIP - First San Diego River Improvement Project  
 ft. - feet // {mi. - mile}  
 gal - gallon  
 Ln(x) - natural logarithm of (x) to base-e (2.718)  
 log(x) - common logarithm of (x) to base-10  
 L/U - lower/upper (as in river reaches)  
 LSDR - Lower San Diego River  
 max/min - maximum/minimum  
 MCC - Mean Coliform Count (geometric mean of fecal coliform, e-Coli & total coliform in MPN/100mL)  
 mg/L - milligrams per litre  
 mi. - mile  
 mS/cm - milliSeimens per centimetre  
 (1 mS/cm = 1000  $\mu$ S/cm)  
 MG - Mission Gorge (mid-section of LSDR)  
 MV - Mission Valley (West section of LSDR)  
 MPN - Most Probable Number (of coliform organisms)  
 SB - Santee Basin (East section of LSDR)  
 PDMWD - Padre Dam Municipal Water District  
 pH - measure of acidity or basicity (decimal logarithm of hydrogen ion activity)  
 ppm - parts per million  
 Q - stream flow or discharge  
 SB - Santee Basin  
 SpC - Specific Conductivity (also Conductivity or Conductance); also commonly abbreviated SC  
 SD - Standard Deviation (also San Diego)  
 SDRPF - San Diego River Park Foundation  
 TDS - Total Dissolved Solids  
 Temp. - Temperature  
 TN/TP - Total Nitrogen/ Total Phosphorus (nutrients)  
 USGS - U.S. Geological Survey  
 $\mu$ S/cm - microSeimens per centimetre  
 (1  $\mu$ S/cm = 0.001 mS/cm)  
 u/s - upstream // {d/s - downstream}  
 W - West // {E - East}  
 WQI - Water Quality Index (WQI<sub>a</sub>)  
 WQI(4) - WQI using 4 parameters  
 WQI(6) - WQI using 6 parameters  
 WY - Water Year (Oct 1 - Sept 31)  
 % - percent  
 °C - degrees Celsius  
 °F - degrees Fahrenheit

### Formulas:

$^{\circ}\text{C} = (^{\circ}\text{F} - 32) \times 5/9$   
 $^{\circ}\text{F} = (^{\circ}\text{C} \times 9/5) + 32$

Flow (cfs) = Velocity (ft/sec) \* Cross-sectional area (sq ft)

Constituent Load (lbs/day) = Q (mgd) \* Concentration (ppm) \* 8.34; or Q (cfs) \* Concentration (mg/L) \* 5.39 where Q is streamflow/discharge.

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS in mg/L) = 670 \* Specific Conductivity, (where SC is in mS/cm). An approximate relationship for Lower SDR watershed; other variables (e.g., temperature, pressure, specific ions) are considered negligible.

DO - DO%Sat relationship is defined by the following polynomial equation:  
 $\text{DO}(\text{mg/L}) = \text{DO}\% \text{Sat} * [0.004 * T^2 - 0.343 * T + 14.2] / 100$ ;  
 $\text{DO}\% \text{Sat} = \text{DO}(\text{mg/L}) * 100 / [0.004 * T^2 - 0.343 * T + 14.2]$ ,  
 where T = temperature is in °C.  
 Other variables, incl. barometric pressure, elevation and conductivity (SC), have negligible impact on the DO-DO%Sat relationship within the LSDR watershed.

SDR Water Quality Index (WQI) is calculated using the following set of equations:

$\text{WQI}_4 = \text{DO}\% \text{Sat} * 2.5 * T \text{ factor} * Q \text{ factor} / \log(\text{SC})$ ;  
 where SC is expressed in  $\mu$ S/cm; the T factor =  $0.0055T^3 - 0.163T^2 + 1.37T - 2.5$ , and the  
 Q factor =  
 $0.56 + 0.173 \text{Ln}Q - 0.002 \text{Ln}Q^2 - 0.0033 \text{Ln}Q^3$  (M Valley);  
 $0.72 + 0.15 \text{Ln}Q - 0.0051 \text{Ln}Q^2 - 0.004 \text{Ln}Q^3$  (M Gorge);  
 $0.87 + 0.107 \text{Ln}Q - 0.018 \text{Ln}Q^2 - 0.003 \text{Ln}Q^3$  (Santee);  
 $0.1 + 0.05 \text{Ln}Q - 0.042 \text{Ln}Q^2 - 0.0011 \text{Ln}Q^3$  (Tributaries)

$\text{WQI}_6 = \text{Avg.} [\text{DO}\% \text{f} * \text{wt}(\text{DO}), \text{SCf} * \text{wt}(\text{SC}), \text{pHf} * \text{wt}(\text{pH}), \text{MCCf} * \text{wt}(\text{MCC}), \text{Qf} * \text{wt}(\text{Q}), \text{Tempf} * \text{wt}(\text{T})]^{\wedge} 1.75$   
 where  $\text{wt}(\text{DO}) = 3$ ,  $\text{wt}(\text{SC}) = 2$ ,  $\text{wt}(\text{pH}) = 1$ ,  
 $\text{wt}(\text{MCC}) = 1$ ,  $\text{wt}(\text{Q}) = 2$  and  $\text{wt}(\text{T}) = 1$

The SDR WQI has been developed specifically for the SDRPF RiverWatch Monitoring Program, however, the equations can also be applied to water quality and hydrologic data for other coastal area watercourses.

### Water Equivalents:

1 cf = 7.48 gal = 62.4 lbs of water  
 1 AF = 43,560 cf = 325,900 gal  
 1 psi = 2.31 ft of water  
 1 mg/L = 1 ppm (in water)  
 1 cfs = 450 gpm = 0.646 mgd = 1.98 AF/day = 724 AFY  
 1 mgd = 694 gpm = 1.547 cfs = 3.06 AF/day = 1,120 AFY  
 1000 gpm = 1.436 mgd = 2.23 cfs = 4.42 AF/day = 1,614 AFY  
 1 inch (rainfall) = 25.4 mm

## Appendix C - References

1. *Lower San Diego River WY11 Water Quality Monitoring Report*, SDRPF, Nov. 2011
2. *Guidelines for Citizen Monitors*, SWAMP Clean Water Team Citizen Monitoring Program Guidance Compendium, SWRCB website (10/5/11 update)
3. *Lower San Diego River WY10 Water Quality Monitoring Report*, SDRPF, Dec 2010
4. *San Diego River Tributary Canyons Project Final Feasibility Report*, April 2010
5. *San Diego River FY 2008-2009 WURMP Annual Report*, TRC, January 2010
6. *Water, The Epic Struggle for Wealth, Power, and Civilization*, Steven Solomon, Harper, 2010
7. *There is No San Diego River*, Bill Manson, San Diego Weekly Reader, Oct 22, 2008
8. *Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) Report on the San Diego Hydrologic Unit, Final Technical Report 2007*, Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, San Diego RWQCB, Jan 2008
9. *San Diego Jurisdictional Urban Runoff Management Plan*, City of San Diego, Storm Water Pollution Prevention Division, March 2008
10. *San Diego Regional Urban Runoff Management Plan Draft*, County of San Diego, Dec 2007
11. *San Diego Integrated Regional Water Management Plan*, San Diego County Water Authority, City of San Diego and County of San Diego, Oct 2007
12. *Monitoring Workplan for the Assessment of Trash in San Diego County Watersheds*, (Weston Solutions/Brown & Caldwell), County of San Diego, Aug 2007
13. *San Diego River Watershed Management Plan – Final Plan*, Anchor Environmental CA & others, March 2005
14. *2005 Watershed Sanitary Survey - Volume 2 San Diego River System*, City of San Diego Water Department, Water Quality Laboratory, Aug 2005
15. *San Diego River Watershed Water Quality Report*, Anchor Environmental & Others, Oct 2003
16. *Clean Water Action Plan and Status Report*, County of San Diego Project Clean Water, June 2003
17. *Watershed Sanitary Survey*, City of San Diego Water Department, Jan 2001 revised May 2003
18. *San Diego River Watershed Urban Runoff Management Plan*, City of San Diego Lead Agency, City of Santee, City of Poway, County of San Diego, Jan 2003
19. *General Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges of Hydrostatic Test Water and Potable Water to Surface Waters and Storm Drains or Other Conveyance Systems*, San Diego Region, San Diego RWQCB, 2002
20. *San Diego River Watershed Urban Runoff Management Plan*, City of San Diego in conjunction with Cities of El Cajon, La Mesa, Santee, Poway and County of San Diego, 2001
21. *Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharge of Urban Runoff from Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Draining the Watersheds of the County of San Diego, the Incorporated Cities of San Diego County, and the San Diego Unified Port District*, San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) Order No 2001-01 (NPDES No. CAS0108758), 2001
22. *General Waste Discharge Requirements for Groundwater Extraction Waste Discharges from Construction, Remediation, and Permanent Groundwater Extraction Projects to Surface Water within the San Diego Region except for San Diego Bay*. San Diego RWQCB, Order No 2001-96 (NPDES No. CAG919002), 2001
23. *Modification of Water Quality Order 99-08-DWQ State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Construction Activity*, San Diego RWQCB Resolution No. 2001-046, 2001
24. *The Rise and Fall of San Diego*, Patrick L. Abbott, Sunbelt Publications, 1999
25. *Waste Discharge Requirements for PDMWD Padre Dam Water Recycling Facility, Discharge to Sycamore Creek and the San Diego River, San Diego County*, San Diego RWQCB Order No. 98-60 (NPDES No. CA010749), 1998
26. *Groundwater Report*, San Diego County Water Authority (SDCWA), 1997
27. *Waste Discharge and Water Recycling Requirements for the Production and Purveyance of Recycled Water, Padre Dam Municipal Water District (PDMWD), San Diego County*, San Diego RWQCB, 1997
28. *Water Quality Control Plan for the San Diego Basin*, San Diego RWQCB, 1994
29. *Evaluation of the Mission, Santee, and Tijuana Hydrologic Subareas for Reclaimed-Water Use, San Diego County, CA*, John A Izbicki, USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 85-4032, 1985
30. *Water Quality in warmwater fish ponds*, Claude E. Boyd, Dept of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures, Auburn University, 1979
31. *The Role of the San Diego River in Development of Mission Valley*, Nan Taylor Papageorge, The Journal of San Diego History (Vol. 17, No 2), Spring 1971
32. *Water The Mirror of Science*, Kenneth S. Davis & John A. Day, Anchor Books, 1961
33. *Aspects of River Pollution*, Louis Klein, 1957

## Appendix D - SDRPF's RiverWatch Team

### Supervision/Coordination:

Shannon Quigley-Raymond  
(‘08-12)

Kym Hunter (‘06-07)

Rob Hutsel (‘04-05)

### Volunteers: (3 or more times)

Aidan Kennedy

Alan Ramirez

Alexandra Shalosky

Amethyst Cruspero

Amy Cook

Ang Nguyen

Bill Martin

Birgit Knorr

Bob Stafford\*

Brent Redd

Calvin Vine\*

Cameron Bradley

Carl Abulencia

Celena Cui

Chris Peter

Clint Williams

Conrad Brennen

Craig McCartney

Dani Tran

David Lapota

Demitrio Duran

Doug Taylor

Ebony Quilteret

Edward Garritty

Erin Babich

Fred Ward

Gabriel Martinez Mercado

Gary Strawn\*

George Liddle

Gina Martin

Jack Greco

Jalil Ahmad

Jim Thornley

Joan Semler

John Kennedy\*

Joyce Nower

Katharyn Morgan

Kathryn Stanaway

Kelly Brown

Kevin Bernaldez

Krissy Lovering

Krystal Tronboll

Laqueta Strawn

Linda King

Lois Dorn

Linda Tarke

Lindsey Dornes

Lindsey Teunis

Lucas Salazar

Maesa Hanhan

Marcus King

Mark Carpenter

Mark Hammer

Martin Offenhauer\*

Mary Hansen

Matt Olson

Melissa Garret

Melissa Maigler

Michael Mikulak

Mitchell Manners

Mike Hanna\*

Mike Hunter

Natellie Rodriguez

Mitzi Quizon

Nicole Beeler

Paul Hormick\*

Raymond Ngo

Reggie Agarma

Russell Burnette

Sandra Pentney

Shelia-Ann Jacques

Tim Toole

Tina Davis

Silvana Procopio

Toni Nguyen

Tony de Garate

Trish Narwold

Veronika Shevchenko

Vidhya Nagarajan

Wendy Kwong

Yang Jiao

Yvette Navarro

\* Team Leaders